1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	JEROME TALLEY, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:15-CV-05596-RBL-JRC
12	v.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	LONNY R SUKO,	NOTED FOR: OCTOBER 30, 2015
14	Defendant.	
15	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. §1983 civil rights action to the undersigned	
16	Magistrate Judge. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and (B) and	
17	Local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4.	
18	Plaintiff Jerome Talley, a pretrial detainee being held at Kitsap County Jail, filed an	
19	application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> (IFP) in this civil rights action on September 10, 2015.	
20	Dkt. 4. Plaintiff has over ten §1983 cases currently pending before this Court. On September	
21	24, 2015, Senior District Judge Lonny R. Suko entered an order denying plaintiff's application to	
22	proceed IFP in <i>Talley v. Sias, et al.</i> , 3:15-cv-5501-LRS (W.D. Wash.) because plaintiff incurred	
23	at least three "strikes" prior to initiating the lav	vsuit. Judge Suko also denied the applications to
24		

proceed IFP in Talley v. Congalton, et al., 3:15-cv-5534-LRS (W.D. Wash.) and Talley v. Creatura, 3:15-cv-5585-LRS (W.D. Wash.) because plaintiff has incurred at least three "strikes." Concurring with Judge Suko, this Court finds that plaintiff incurred the following "strikes" prior to filing this lawsuit: Talley v. Holevinski (E.D. Wash. Case No. 02:99-cv-5036); Talley v. Bailey, et al. (W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:08-cv-00677-TSZ); Talley v. Bailey, et al. (II) (W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:08-cv-00752-RSM); and Talley v. Rogers (W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:08cv-01761-TSZ). See also Talley v. Sias, et al., 3:15-cv-5501-LRS (W.D. Wash.). This Court may take notice of judicial proceedings in another court. See U.S. ex rel. Robinson Rancheria Citizens Council v. Borneo, Inc., 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992). DISCUSSION Because plaintiff has incurred at least three strikes, he may not proceed IFP unless he can show he is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The imminent danger exception requires a prisoner allege a danger which is "ready to take place" or "hanging threateningly over one's head." *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1056 (9th Cir. 2007). In this case, plaintiff sues Senior District Court Judge Suko, alleging that Judge Suko denied plaintiff "full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for security and protection of plaintiff's person and property to sue, be party, give evidence in habeas corpus actions, suit, or proceedings as a remedy or procedure to test, inquire and [unclear] plaintiff's civil right of personal liberty and prevent false imprisonment and to have a day in court to be discharged under the laws of habeas corpus and procedural due process ....." Dkt. 1 at 6.

Plaintiff does not allege danger of any physical injury, much less an imminent serious physical danger which is "ready to take place" or "hanging threateningly over [his] head." *Cervantes*, 493 F.3d at 1056. Therefore, this Court concludes that plaintiff is not in imminent

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	danger, and therefore, plaintiff is subject to the three-strikes rule and should not proceed in forma	
2	pauperis.	
3	CONCLUSION	
4	The Court recommends plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP (Dkt. 4) be denied. The Court	
5	further recommends plaintiff be ordered to pay the \$400.00 filing fee within thirty days of the	
6	District Court's order and, if the fee is not paid, dismiss this case without prejudice.	
7	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil	
8	Procedure, the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written	
9	objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those	
10	objections for purposes of appeal. <i>Thomas v Arn</i> , 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time	
11	limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the Clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on <b>October</b>	
12	30, 2015, as noted in the caption.	
13	Dated this 6 <sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015.	
14		
15	J. Richard Creatura	
16	United States Magistrate Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		